

**Moulton Parish Council**

**Minutes of Parish Council Meeting**

**Date:** 1<sup>st</sup> June 2021 **Time:** 7.15pm

**Location:** Moulton Community Centre

<b>Agenda Item:</b>		
<b>72/21</b>	<b>Conduct a Public Session (Duration and content at Chairman's discretion)</b>	
	<p>Seventy residents attended the meeting to share their concerns regarding the consultation for the proposed new school sited at Thorpeville/Ashley Lane and Saxon Park, where plans include a Burger King, petrol station and Costa Coffee, Overstone Leys. Cllr Aarons outlined the structure of the meeting and Cllr Ward read out the MPC response to the consultation. Cllr Aarons invited questions/comments from the public gallery:</p> <p><b>New School Proposal:</b></p> <p>Q. Why has the school been resited? Previous plans showed the school on the north side of Moulton. Why are brownfield sites not being considered i.e. Parklands Middle School</p> <p>A. DA gave an overview of Central Government's desire to build 16 Free Schools and the decision to build on the proposed site does not include the usual local authorities. Cllr Warren confirmed, that, due to the unusual nature of the proposals, discussions had only taken place between the Department of Education and the contractors.</p> <p>Q. Who will make the decision re: planning application?</p> <p>A. MW explained that the Daventry District element of West Northamptonshire Council Planning Dept are likely to determine the application. However, discussions were currently taking place to decide if the application would be labelled as 'strategic' or a 'normal'.</p> <p>C. Could other brownfield sites be considered i.e. Brixworth? This would give Brixworth a secondary school freeing up places at Moulton Sports and Science College for local children. This suggestion to be included in the MPC response.</p> <p>Q. One resident spoke and detailed the significant detrimental impact the application will have on their property as the proposed car park is approximately 10feet away from their lounge. A number of mature trees in their garden will also be affected by the swathe of tarmac.</p> <p>A. MW stressed the importance of commenting if/when a planning application was submitted. DA explained that only valid planning reasons can be used when objecting to an application.</p> <p>Q. If the school is being built to support the development of the Northampton North Sustainable Urban Extension, the majority of which is in Overstone parish, why is the school being built at the south-westerly corner of the site? There are 9 feeder roads onto the A43 roundabout, which is already congested. Not enough carparking/bus spaces included. Preapplication planning advice was kept secret.</p> <p>A. DA explained that Northamptonshire County Council are the landowners and any dialogue had been between them and the contractor. MW further explained and confirmed that any pre application discussions between the planning authority and applicant were confidential.</p> <p>Q. there appears to be a lack of joined up thinking with this scheme. Where is the demand for 1200 spaces?</p> <p>A. DA confirmed that the justification for building the school is the Northampton North Sustainable Urban Extension, the majority of which is in Overstone parish but Overstone Parish council had not even been consulted and were not aware of the application.</p> <p>C. The school is labelled as 'Northampton Free School' and the Admission Policy states</p>	

	<p>that the places are for the new housing development and all over Northampton i.e. a random admission policy so will not serve the NNSUE development and pupils will travel from all over the county to bring their children to school, exacerbating the traffic problems.</p> <p>C. If the school application is not successful, what will go there in its place?</p> <p>A. DA Potentially, a housing development.</p> <p>C. Pleased to hear response from MPC but feels it can be strengthened – the increase in footfall and installation of floodlighting will have a massive impact on Crowfields Common Local Nature Reserve. There is no green agenda shown in the application, no electric charging, not encouraging sustainability.</p> <p>C. A resident pointed out that with many children accessing the school on foot from Overstone would negate the benefit of the new bypass as there would be numerous traffic hold ups when the pedestrian crossings were used at the beginning and end of school.</p> <p>Q. What is the value of the land? Can the parish council buy the land?</p> <p>A. DA stated that MPC were not given the opportunity to purchase the land. MW added that the 100 acre site owned by NCC had been designated as strategic development land for many years and as such the price would be very high. Approximately 35 acres were being proposed to be used by the school application.</p> <p>C. Nature conservation needs to be considered, planting of wildflowers, pollination etc</p> <p>C. School proposals were preferable to 300 houses.</p> <p>Q. What happened to the Moulton Heights planning application which featured two new schools?</p> <p>A. DA Plan was withdrawn and will not go ahead.</p> <p>C. GM urged all the residents to contact Chris Heaton-Harris MP to make their feelings known.</p> <p><b>Saxon Park Proposal:</b></p> <p>Q. Vehicle access to Saxon Park is a great concern.</p> <p>C. Why do we need another petrol station?</p> <p>C. Overstone Parish Council Clerk informed the meeting that Overstone Parish Council were trying to arrange a meeting with Chris Heaton-Harris MP. The current proposals for Saxon Park would mean 10 road junctions between the A43 roundabout and Billing Lane. The entrance is not as shown on DA/2013/0850 and OPC has objected vociferously to the new application.</p> <p>Q. Are there experts available to advise on valid planning reasons for objecting to? EO to email resident and post advice on website.</p>	EO
--	--	----

**Record Attendance, Absence and Apologies from councillors not in attendance. Resolution to accept:**

73/21	Councillors (Parish):	Cllr Aarons (DA), Cllr Paul (GP), Cllr A Morrow (TM), Cllr Swallow (YS), Cllr S Morrow (SM), Cllr Curran (CC), Cllr Fehnert (SF), Cllr Moss (GM), Cllr White (KW), Cllr Ward (TW), Cllr S Milligan (SM)
	Councillors (West Northants):	Cllr M Warren (MW)
	Executive Officer:	Jane Austin

	Other Attendees:	70 residents (until the end of the Public Session, one resident remained for the remainder of the meeting)
	Apologies:	Cllr Bennett, Cllr Swannell (unwell), Cllr T Morrow (work commitments)
	Absence:	None

Agenda Item:	Discussion Content:	Action:
74/21	<b>Record any Declarations of Interest on subjects included on this agenda. Councillors are reminded that if they have either a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest or other interest in any of the agenda items then they should declare the interest and withdraw from the debate or meeting as appropriate:</b>	Action:
	Cllr Aarons reminded councillors of their duty to declare an interest on agenda items if necessary.	
75/21	<b>Dispensations: To consider written requests for dispensation of DPI</b>	
	None	
76/21	<b>Accept Minutes of Council Meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> June 2021. Resolution to accept and sign.</b>	Action:
	It was <b>resolved</b> to accept the minutes of the council meeting held on 1 <sup>st</sup> June 2021. The lamppost signage for Remembrance Sunday has arrived. Council <b>resolved</b> to purchase four additional signs, one for each village entrance.	<b>EO</b>
77/21	<b>Planning Related Matters:</b>	Action:
	1. Agree responses to the following:	
	<p>a. Proposed New School Thorpeville</p> <p>It was <b>resolved</b> to approve the draft response below, written by the Planning Committee and to include comments from residents and submit to DPP on behalf of MPC:</p> <p>Moulton Parish Council wish to object to this proposed development at the current location. We do realise that a school may be required because of the developments around Overstone ( Northampton North SUE) and within Northampton. The vast majority of the SUE is in the Overstone Parish yet neither Overstone Parish Council nor Overstone residents have been contacted and were unaware of the consultations or proposals.</p> <p>Is this new school really necessary? Thomas Becket School and Weston Favell Academy are both undersubscribed and at least half of the students at MSSC come from old Northampton Borough area. By reducing the number of children who travel into the village MSSC could absorb the new population from the SUE. The admissions policy of the new school may encourage students from outside the area.</p> <p>A Moulton Parish Council meeting was held last night (7/7/21) and there was very strong opposition to this proposal from the local population.</p> <p><b>Location</b></p> <p>We do not feel that this is the correct location/ site for this school. Moulton, itself, is already served by Moulton Sports and Science College for secondary students. Moulton does not need another secondary school.</p> <p>Why has this site been selected for this development? If this school is to accommodate the residents of the Northampton North SUE, the majority of which is in Overstone Parish, why is the school being built at the south westerly corner in Moulton Parish, surely a site</p>	<b>TW, YS</b>

on the SUE development would be better for the residents rather than a green field site. What other sites were considered? Why are brownfield sites not being considered such as Parklands Middle school site and other middle school sites in Northampton? Another site could be a brownfield site situated the Old Station Yard at Brixworth. This site would have many benefits: it would

- Provide an amenity currently lacking in Brixworth - in spite of being a larger village than Moulton it has no secondary school
- Reduce the number of coaches currently bringing students from Brixworth
- Free up school places at Moulton Sports and Science College for students from the new residential developments who could walk/cycle
- Students from Northampton specifically wishing to attend a Free School could access Brixworth via the existing Brampton Valley Way which provides a safe cycle track
- There is a public footpath that connects the Old Station Yard and Brixworth by the allotments (opposite the church)
- Finally it would lead to the visual improvement of a run-down area

### **Traffic**

One of the reasons we are opposed to the school is the impact traffic from the school will have on Moulton village, particularly around Ashley Lane, Overstone Road, Park View, Thorpeville and through routes in the village.

The new roundabout on the A43 will already be seriously impacted by developments underway, i.e. Aldi store and Saxon Park development which will greatly increase traffic on it. The extra traffic from a new school will make the roundabout access very difficult.

There are already several feeder roads on to this roundabout and this would add to the congestion.

Moulton already has a substantial number of buses from Moulton College and Moulton Secondary School and Science College (MSSC). These generate a lot of traffic through the village. Based on the experience at MSSC there are insufficient parking spaces on the plan, which we have seen, for the new school. Also, the pickup and drop off points will be inaccessible at the same time as buses which will block the road. There appear to be only 2 drop off points for buses and 3 for minibuses. This surely cannot be enough. Parking for parents at drop off and pick up points does not seem to have been considered. The roads around Thorpeville will become congested and as this is a dead-end road there will be insufficient space for vehicles to turn round. This would create traffic jams.

Traffic movements in and out, cars, buses, delivery vehicles, refuse lorries etc would not just be at school arrival and departure times. The plans include sports grounds which would be used for after school and holiday activities as well as weekends.

We note that there has been no traffic survey to identify the effect of traffic on the area around the school and around the area of Moulton.

We are also worried about the safety impact on children walking to school with the increased traffic. Children crossing the A43 dual carriageway would negate the benefit of the new bypass as there would be numerous traffic hold ups at peak times during the day when pedestrian crossings would be used.

There is also a concern about three important elements i.e. litter, noise pollution and air pollution. No surveys appear to have been carried out for these.

DPP seem confused about the access road on Thorpeville as they speak of traffic being able to enter the site from the south in an emergency. This is in fact a dead-end road which if it was opened up would cause more traffic to use Moulton as a rat run and defeat

	<p>the object of the bypass which has recently opened.</p> <p><b>Environment</b>  The open spaces at Crowfields, which is adjacent to the site, is a valuable asset for the village which is owned by Moulton Parish Council. The site is grassland which has surviving medieval ridge and furrow. About 15 years ago this was officially designated as a <b>Local Nature Reserve</b>. The impact on the flora and fauna will be evident as their habitats will be compromised or destroyed. Nature conservation needs to be considered . Where hard spaces are going to impact trees, hedges need to be evaluated.  Floodlights on the sports fields will be intrusive to local residents and also to the wildlife. These would drive wildlife away from Crowfields and adjacent areas because of the floodlighting’s close proximity. These areas have been used extensively over the lockdown periods and are a welcome asset for the local population.</p> <p><b>Refuse</b>  The Refuse site is situated next to the gardens of houses in Ashley lane. The problems of smell and vermin that this could attract suggests that this is not the correct location next to residential properties.</p> <p><b>Water Management</b>  There have been drainage problems on Ashley Lane in the past. How are you going to ensure that there will not be a problem with flooding and surface drainage around the school and the properties nearby?  <b>We still feel time should be found for a public consultation for this application as the local population has NOT been consulted except by a leaflet with a plan which is of such a small scale it is impossible and problematic to interpret in its current form.</b></p>	
	<p>b. Local Centre Plans for Overstone Leys (Saxon Park)  It was <b>resolved</b> to approve the following draft response written by the Planning Committee and include comments from OPC. EO to submit response on behalf of MPC.</p> <p><b>Saxon Park Consultation – MPC Response</b>  <i>The original intention of the Western Development corporation, for the North Northampton SUE, was that this development should be a retail centre with convenience stores, e.g. Newsagents, Social centre with Public House, and useful services such as Medical Centre, Nursery, Primary School for the people in the new houses in Overstone, Billing Lane and possibly Sandy Hill Lane.</i></p> <p><i>This proposed development Saxon Park has been altered into a Service Area for passing traffic on the A43 consisting of Garage filling station for cars and lorries (on plan), Costa Coffee and Burger King which are considerably bigger than in the outline plans. The units in the original Outline plan such as the Public House, B1 light Industry, Structural Landscaping are either not there because they are going to be elsewhere such as the Medical Centre or the developers have chosen to ignore the outline plan such as with the Garage which can only impact on the existing Garage and Filling station across the road.</i></p> <p><i>The two storey Nursery as planned is inaccessible except by using the parking spaces and access for the employment area.</i></p> <p><i>This development is designed to attract passing traffic off the A43 which with the Aldi supermarket, and a proposed new School will cause added congestion on the Sywell road,</i></p>	EO

	<p><i>the A43 and the new roundabout.</i></p> <p><i>The development is so different to what was originally envisaged it should not be encompassed by the outline planning permission.</i></p> <p><i>The developers should be applying for NEW planning permission from scratch. As this is a significant departure from the Outline Planning Permission and will facilitate the developers proposal to build a road side retail centre, OPC is checking whether due process has been followed since DWH have not declared in the application that the road layout has been altered.</i></p> <p><i>Moulton Parish Council is opposed to this development as at present illustrated and recommends that it be replaced and recommends that it be replaced with facilities such as shops, hair dressers, and Social centres for the new housing.</i></p> <p><i>Appendix 1</i></p> <p><i>The original list was</i></p> <p><i>A1 Foodstore, A4 Public House, C2 Care Home, Day Nursery, Medical Centre, 5 Retail Units Parade, B1 Light Industry, Primary School, Public Open Space, Structural Landscaping</i></p> <p>Cllr Warren confirmed he had requested both applications be determined at Committee. Cllr Warren suggested contacting OPC who have a meeting with David Wilson, the developers and requesting an update.</p>	
	<p>2. Planning Applications – Planning Committee Responses previously submitted to Planning Authority</p>	
	<p><b>a) WND/2021/0120</b></p> <p>Description: Single storey rear extension and extension to rear of existing double garage to form amenity outbuilding.</p> <p>Location: 5, Inniskilling Close,</p> <p><b>MPC response:</b> The development of the garage is separate to the rear extension of the house which may cause issue with the neighbours.</p> <p><b>b) WND/2021/0091</b></p> <p>Description: Extensions and alterations to create a two storey dwelling, including the construction of a detached garage with ancillary accommodation.</p> <p>Location: Whitegates 8 Thorpeville,</p> <p><b>MPC Response:</b> The garage is higher than previous building and may impact on the house next door.</p> <p><b>c) DA/2020/1178 (Amended)</b></p> <p>Description: Reserved matters application (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) for 129 dwellings pursuant to outline approval DA/2013/0850 and approval of Condition 14 (finished floor levels), Condition 15 (soft landscaping), Condition 18 (foul water drainage), Condition 20 (acoustic report), Condition 25 (gas monitoring), Condition 26 (acoustic report), Condition 30 (fire hydrants), Condition 37 (travel plan), Condition 39 (arrangements for management and maintenance of proposed streets), Condition 41 (external lighting plan), Condition 42 (soil management plan) and Condition 43 (compensatory habit creation and management scheme)</p> <p>Location: Overstone Leys, Overstone Lane, Overstone</p> <p><b>MPC Response: No Comment</b></p> <p><b>d) WND/2021/0181</b></p>	

<p>Description: Replacement of wooden windows to front and rear elevation with composite (UPVC) windows.</p> <p>Location: 10 Doves Lane, Moulton,</p> <p><b>MPC Response:</b> This is within the conservation area of the village and the windows are to be replaced with UPVC rather than wood as they are now.</p> <p><b>e) WND/2021/0192</b></p> <p>Description: Conversion of garage to habitable including two storey front bay, two storey and single storey rear extension.</p> <p>Location: 6, Silverwell Close, Moulton, NN3 7BT</p> <p><b>MPC Response:</b> Recommend Approve</p> <p><b>f) WND/2021/0132</b></p> <p>Description: Reserved matters application (access - primary infrastructure for Zone 9) pursuant to Condition 1 of outline planning approval DA/2013/0850 granted approval on 27.08.2015.</p> <p>Location: Overstone Leys, Overstone Lane, Overstone,</p> <p><b>MPC Response:</b> No Comment</p> <p><b>g) WND/2021/0152</b></p> <p>Description: Reserved matters application (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) for 350 dwellings (Phase 9) pursuant to outline approval DA/2013/0850 and approval of Condition 14 (finished floor levels), Condition 15 (details of open space), Condition 26 (internal noise levels), Condition 36 (Bus stops), Condition 37 (Travel Plan) and Condition 41 (external lighting).</p> <p>Location: Overstone Leys, Overstone Lane, Overstone,</p> <p><b>MPC Response:</b> No Comment</p> <p><b>h) WNC/21/00023/WASFUL</b></p> <p>Proposal: Relocation of aggregate recycling uses from Boughton Waste Site (former Boughton Quarry) to the site of Phase 1 Pitsford Quarry</p> <p>Location: Pitsford Quarry, Harborough Road, Pitsford, NN6 9BB</p> <p><b>MPC Response:</b> Awaiting comment from Planning Committee</p> <p><b>i) WND/2021/0172</b></p> <p>Description: Reserved matters application (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) for 350 dwellings (Zone 10) pursuant to outline approval DA/2013/0850 and approval of Condition 18 (foul water drainage), Condition 19 (surface water drainage), Condition 26 (noise) and Condition 37 (travel plan).</p> <p>Location: Land To East of A43 - Southern Parcel, Overstone Lane, Overstone, Northamptonshire</p> <p><b>MPC Response:</b> No Comment.</p> <p><b>j) DA/2021/0342 (Amended)</b></p> <p>Description: Demolition of existing conservatory, construction of new first floor with rear dormer and part single storey and part two storey rear extension.</p> <p>Location: 18, Boughton Road, Moulton,</p> <p><b>MPC Response:</b> Recommend Approval</p> <p><b>k) WND/2021/0255</b></p> <p>Description: Construction of detached timber outbuilding</p> <p>Location: 16, Nightingale Drive, Moulton, Northamptonshire, NN3 7WB</p> <p><b>MPC Response:</b> Councillors were concerned with the very high ridge height of 3.8metres which could impact on adjoining neighbours.</p>	
--	--

	It was <b>resolved</b> to approve all the planning responses submitted to the planning authority by the Planning Committee.	
<b>78/21</b>	<b>Finance, Administration and Governance Related Matters</b> All councillors have received a copy of the draft Finance Committee Meeting Minutes dated 29 <sup>th</sup> June 2021.	Action:
	<b>Recommendations from Finance Committee Meeting 29<sup>th</sup> June 2021</b>	
	<b>1. Approve Payments as Listed in Appendix 1. Resolution to Approve</b>	
	It was <b>resolved</b> to approve and make the payments listed in Appendix 1	EO
	<b>2. Approve Purchase and Installation of Litter Bins and Dog Waste Bins</b>	
	It was <b>resolved</b> to purchase and install the following bins: 5 x Topsy Jubilee Bins 1 x Slimline 50 2 x dog bins 1 x Gemini double	EO
	<b>3. Approve Quotes for Works to External Main Doors Community Centre</b>	
	It was <b>resolved</b> to approve the quote for repairs to the external main doors.	EO
	<b>Finance and Governance Related Matters continued:</b>	
	<b>4. Discuss Offer of Obtaining Sponsorship for Additional Litter Bins and Collection</b>	
	It was <b>resolved</b> to accept the offer of obtaining sponsorship for additional bins and collection	EO
	<b>5. Consider Quotes for Works to Cemetery Path</b>	
	Item deferred until next meeting.	EO
	<b>6. Consider Quotes for Remedial Works – SUDS and Busby’s Meadow</b>	
	Item deferred until next meeting.	EO
	<b>7. Consider Quote for Upgrade to CCTV System Public Gardens</b>	
	It was <b>resolved</b> to accept the quote for an upgrade to the CCTV system in the Public Gardens. It was also <b>resolved</b> to purchase two more cameras for the CCTV system at the Community Centre.	EO
	<b>8. Consider Applications Received for Councillor Vacancies</b>	
	It was <b>resolved</b> to co-opt Taras Melnik and Sarah Milligan to council. Sarah joined the council for the remainder of the meeting. EO to process documentation.	EO
	<b>9. Approval and Adoption of Co-option Policy</b>	
	It was <b>resolved</b> to approve and adopt the Co-option Policy.	EO
<b>79/21</b>	<b>Update on Picnics Before/After School Club Situation</b> The EO updated council on the current situation regarding Picnics Before/After School Club using the village hall. MSSC has written to Louise refusing permission for Picnics to use the hall citing 4 main issues. The EO and owner have responded as all the issues can be overcome. A meeting is scheduled with the school on Wednesday 14 <sup>th</sup> July. EO to update at next meeting.	EO
<b>80/21</b>	<b>Discuss Proposal by Vespaccino</b> It was <b>resolved</b> to approve the proposal from Vespaccino to hold a small ‘birthday party’ on 1 <sup>st</sup> August as detailed.	EO
<b>81/21</b>	<b>Discuss Gig in the Gardens</b> It was <b>resolved</b> to hold Gig in the Gardens on Saturday 4 <sup>th</sup> September. The EO confirmed that a donation of £5,000 had been secured from Mulberry Homes towards the event.	EO
<b>82/21</b>	<b>Discuss Queens Platinum Jubilee Weekend 2022</b> It was <b>resolved</b> to hold an event on the weekend of Saturday 4 <sup>th</sup> and Sunday 5 <sup>th</sup> June 2022	

	to celebrate the Queens Platinum Jubilee. EO to suggest event schedule based on local authority guidelines issued and present to council at next meeting	<b>EO</b>
<b>83/21</b>	<b>Monthly Update - Councillors</b>	
	<p><b>DA</b> – Working with Louise at the community centre to develop ideas for Electric Vehicle (EV) charging and an alternative power source to the gas boilers currently installed.</p> <p><b>SM</b> – has attended two Police Liaison Representative (PLR) Meetings with Chief Constable and the local policing team. Over 100 parish councils were in attendance via zoom. A new mobile police station is in circulation and a new initiative to raise awareness of scams is in progress. Several new police recruits have joined the force and will undertake their probation in local policing areas.</p> <p><b>CC</b> – Is to meet with Helen Howard, Community Liaison Officer, Highways to discuss speeding problems on Boughton Road and Shaun to discuss changing the3 message on the speed signs to display a more positive message when drivers keep to the speed limit.</p> <p><b>YS</b> – All councillors had received the monthly report form the Community Connectors outlining their activities for the previous month. Services are being expanded to cover all age groups within the community and both Connectors are enthusiastic about providing lots more events and services for the community.</p> <p><b>KW</b> – Working with TM on youth provision and has drafted a document. Very positive contact with MSSC and awaiting the results of a survey which will determine how to proceed.</p>	
<b>84/21</b>	Next Meeting Date – <b>Tuesday 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2021</b>	

**Meeting Closed at 21.25pm Copies to:** Parish Councillors (14), West Northants Councillors (3),

**Chairman's Acceptance Signature.....Date.....**

### Appendix 1 July Payments

ROSPA	Un-invoiced amount for SUDS Site Review in 2019	£1,840.00
Royal British Legion Industries	RBL Tommy Street Sign	£125.00
Prestige Landscapes	Parish, Crowfields & MCC Greenworks - Jul 2021	£4,044.74
Ashby Computer Services	Office 365 Monthly Cost	£71.19
Ashby Computer Services	Laptop and Printer	£1,067.15
Bluefish	Paper	£38.85
Bluefish	Extension Lead	£16.88
Bluefish	Office Chair x 1	£139.00
Bluefish	Office Chair x 2	£278.00
AES	Building Management System Maintenance Contract	£810.00
Ambivent	Investigate Boiler Faults	£48.00

Stannah	Repair Job	£538.87
Stannah	Servicing Contract	£333.80
Eon	Street Lighting Maintenance Q1 21-22	£32.71
Glasdon	Stanford Seat	£967.82
Natalie Green & Co	Accountancy Fees inc Prep of Year End Final Accounts	£1,875.00
CPRE	Annual Subscription Fee	£35.00
Northants Fire	Fire Extinguisher Checks (MCC & PG's)	£275.66
Rob's Nursery	Hanging Baskets & Planters	£969.90
Rialtas Business Solutions	Software Transfer	£25.00
K&J Hird	MCC Cleaning/Caretaking & Village Maintenance 07.06.21-04.07.21 and MCC Window Cleaning	£1,974.00
S Mellett	Key Cutting & Engraving	£66.00
C Connolly	Stationery & DBS Check Fees	£123.00
J Bunting	Postage	£16.60
HMRC	July HMRC Payment	£2,627.46
NCC Pensions	July NCC Pensions Payment	£2,781.06
Salaries	July Salaries	£10,341.57